## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.  | Applicant(s) PELLICONI, ANTEO |  |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 10/551,679       |                               |  |
| Examiner         | Art Unit                      |  |
| Nathan M. Nutter | 1796                          |  |

|  | Natnan M. Nutter  | 1796   |   |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence add   | ress                                      |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP  | PLY FILED <u>01 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br/>application, applicant must timely file one of the following<br/>application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (<br/>periods:</li> </ol>  | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance  | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or           | which places the r (3) a Request          |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  | date of the final rejection                                | on.                                       |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or<br>MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(   |   | FIRST REPLY WAS FI   | LED WITHIN TWO                            |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date<br>have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex<br>under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the<br>set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later<br>may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | tension and the corresponding amount of<br>shortened statutory period for reply origing<br>than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>te action; or (2) as |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL   |   |  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>01 May 2009</u>. A brief is<br/>date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a<br/>Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b</li> </ol>   | ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37  | 7(e)), to avoid dismiss                                    | al of the appeal.                         |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |   |  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> </ol>   |   |  | cause                                     |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  |   | E below);  |   |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below   |   |  |   |  |  |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or   |   |  | ne issues for                             |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally reje  | ected claims.  |   |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |   |  |   |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.   |   | mpliant Amendment (I                                       | PTOL-324).                                |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>   |   | imely filed amendmer                                       | nt canceling the                          |  |  |
| non-allowable claim(s).  |   | •  |   |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   | be entered and an e  | xplanation of                             |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:  |   |  |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.  |   |  |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |   |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea   | l and/or appellant fail:                                   | s to provide a                            |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio<br/>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>  | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attach                                    | ed.                                       |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu<br/>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>   | t does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan                                      | ce because:                               |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).   | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |  |   |  |  |
| 13. Other:   |   |  |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |  |  |
|  | (Nothern M. Nutter)   |  |   |  |  |

/Nathan M. Nutter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The reference, as applicant admits to overlap of claimed subject matter with the reference. Clearly the reference teaches a range for the ratio inclusive of that recited herein. Clearly the reference shows the range of the MFR1 to be inclusive of that recited herein. Further, the calculations for the MFR2 yield a range, again, inclusive of that recited herein. A reference is taken for the mitrety of teachings, not for isolated examples. Finally, it is pointed out to applicant, the end-use of the product of the reference, as a masterbatch, is dentical to that recited herein.